

# Integer Programming: A Research Overview

Ted Ralphs

Department of Industrial and Systems Engineering  
Lehigh University, Bethlehem, PA

<http://www.lehigh.edu/~tkr2>

# Outline of Talk

- Introduction
- Applications
- Research
  - Solution methodology
  - User interfaces
  - Computation
- Conclusions

# Introduction

# Mathematical Programming Models

- What does *mathematical programming* mean?
- Programming here means “planning.”
- Literally, these are “mathematical models for planning.”
- Also called *optimization models*.
- Essential elements
  - Decision variables
  - Constraints
  - Objective Function
  - Parameters and Data

## Forming a Mathematical Programming Model

- The general form of a *mathematical programming model* is:

$$\begin{array}{l} \min f(x) \\ \text{s.t. } g_i(x) \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \leq \\ = \\ \geq \end{array} \right\} b_i \\ x \in X \end{array}$$

$X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  is an (implicitly defined) set that may be discrete.

- A *mathematical programming problem* is a problem that can be expressed using a mathematical programming model (called the *formulation*).
- A single mathematical programming problem can be represented using many different formulations (important).

## Types of Mathematical Programming Models

- The type of mathematical programming model is determined mainly by
  - The form of the objective and the constraints.
  - The form of the set  $X$ .
- In this talk, we consider **linear models**.
  - The objective function is linear.
  - The constraints are linear.
  - Linear models are specified by cost vector  $c \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , constraint matrix  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ , and right-hand side vector  $b \in \mathbb{R}^m$  and have the form

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & c^T x \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & Ax \geq b \\ & x \in X \end{aligned}$$

## Linear Models

- Generally speaking, linear models are easier to solve than more general types of models.
- If  $X = \mathbb{R}^n$ , the model is called a *linear program* (LP).
- Linear programming models can be solved effectively.
- If some of the variables in the model are required to take on integer values, the model is called a *mixed integer linear programs* (MILPs).
- MILPs can be extremely difficult to solve in practice.

## Modeling with Integer Variables

- Why do we need *integer variables*?
- If a variable represents the quantity of a physical resource that only comes in *discrete units*, then it must be assigned an integer value.
  - Product mix problem.
  - Cutting stock problem.
- We can use *0-1 (binary) variables* for a variety of purposes.
  - Modeling yes/no decisions.
  - Enforcing disjunctions.
  - Enforcing logical conditions.
  - Modeling fixed costs.
  - Modeling piecewise linear functions.
- The simplest form of ILP is a *combinatorial optimization problem* (COP), where all variables are binary.



## Example: Perfect Matching Problem

- We are given a set  $N$  of  $n$  people that need to be paired in teams of two.
- Let  $c_{ij}$  represent the “cost” of the team formed by persons  $i$  and  $j$ .
- We wish to minimize the overall cost of the pairings.
- The nodes represent the people and the edges represent pairings.
- We have  $x_{ij} = 1$  if  $i$  and  $j$  are matched,  $x_{ij} = 0$  otherwise.
- To simplify the presentation, we assume that  $x_{ij} = 0$  if  $i \geq j$ .

$$\min \sum_{\{i,j\} \in N \times N} c_{ij} x_{ij}$$

$$\text{s.t.} \quad \sum_{j \in N} x_{ij} = 1, \quad \forall i \in N$$

$$x_{ij} \in \{0, 1\}, \quad \forall \{i, j\} \in N \times N, i < j.$$

# Applications

# Applications

To get a feel, we'll sample applications from a few “hot” areas.

- Supply Chain Logistics
- Computational Biology
- Electronic Commerce

## Facility Location Problem

- We are given  $n$  potential **facility locations** and  $m$  customers that must be serviced from those locations.
- There is a fixed cost  $c_j$  of opening facility  $j$ .
- There is a cost  $d_{ij}$  associated with serving customer  $i$  from facility  $j$ .
- We have two sets of binary variables.
  - $y_j$  is 1 if facility  $j$  is opened, 0 otherwise.
  - $x_{ij}$  is 1 if customer  $i$  is served by facility  $j$ , 0 otherwise.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \min \quad & \sum_{j=1}^n c_j y_j + \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n d_{ij} x_{ij} \\
 \text{s.t.} \quad & \sum_{j=1}^n x_{ij} = 1 && \forall i \\
 & \sum_{i=1}^m x_{ij} \leq m y_j && \forall j \\
 & x_{ij}, y_j \in \{0, 1\} && \forall i, j
 \end{aligned}$$

## Traveling Salesman Problem

- We are given a set of cities and a cost associated with traveling between each pair of cities.
- The *Traveling Salesman Problem* (TSP) is that of finding the least cost route traveling through every city and ending up back at the starting city.
- Applications of the TSP
  - Drilling Circuit Boards
  - DNA Sequencing

## DNA Sequencing and the TSP

- The *DNA sequencing problem* is to find the sequence of base pairs in a large fragment of DNA (length  $N$ ).
- It is not practical to simply examine the DNA and determine the sequence.
- One approach is *sequencing by hybridization*: mix the DNA sequence with short oligonucleotides that bind with subsequences of the DNA.
- This results in an approximate list of all subsequences of length  $l$  that occur in the larger sequence.
- To reconstruct the original sequence, we simply have to correctly **order the subsequences**.
- This is easy if there are no errors.
- In the presence of errors, it becomes an optimization problem that is a variant of the TSP.

## The Set Partitioning Problem

- We are given a finite set  $S$  and subsets  $S_1, \dots, S_n$  of  $S$ , each with an associated cost  $c(S_i), i \in [1..n]$ .
- The *Set Partitioning Problem* is to determine  $I \subset [1..n]$  such that  $\bigcup_{i \in I} S_i = S$  and  $\sum_{i \in I} c(S_i)$  is minimized.
- We can formulate this as an integer program.
  - Construct a  $0 - 1$  matrix  $A$  in which  $a_{ij} = 1$  if and only if the  $i^{\text{th}}$  element of  $S$  belongs to  $S_j$ .
  - The integer program is then

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & c^T x \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & Ax = 1 \\ & x \in \{0, 1\}^n \end{aligned}$$

- These integer programs are very difficult to solve.

## The Set Partitioning Problem and Combinatorial Auctions

- A *combinatorial auction* is an auction in which participants are allowed to bid on subsets of the available goods.
- This accounts for the fact that some items have a greater worth when combined with other items.
- Example: FCC bandwidth auction
  - The FCC wishes to sell the licenses by bandwidth and region.
  - The value of a set of bandwidth licenses is increased if they are in contiguous regions.
- A set of items along with a price constitutes a *bid*.
- Given a set of bids, determining the *winners* of the auction is a set partitioning problem.



## The Set Partitioning Problem and Vehicle Routing

- Problem data
  - A set of **customers** with known demands for a single commodity.
  - A fleet of identical **trucks** with fixed capacity.
  - A single fixed **depot**.
  - Travel times between pairs of locations.
- The *Vehicle Routing Problem* (VRP) is to
  - assign customers to trucks such that capacity is not exceeded and
  - sequence the customers assigned to each trucksuch that the total travel time is minimized.
- This can be formulated as a **set partitioning problem**.
  - The set to be partitioned is the **customers**.
  - The subsets are sets of customers that can be serviced by a single truck.
  - The cost for each subset is the cost of an optimal routing for that set of customers.

# Research

# Show Me the Research

- Methodology
  - Solution methodology
    - \* Primal algorithms
    - \* Implicit enumeration algorithms
    - \* Heuristics
  - Other tools
    - \* Automatic reformulation
    - \* Decomposition and Multiple Objective
    - \* Preprocessing techniques
    - \* Generation of strong valid inequalities
    - \* Primal heuristics
- User interfaces
  - Modeling languages
  - Data interchange formats
  - Callable libraries
  - Algorithmic frameworks
- Computation

# Methodology

## How Hard Are These Problems?

- In practice, they can be extremely difficult.
- The number of possible solutions for the TSP is  $n!$  (that's HUGE).
- We cannot afford to enumerate all these possibilities.
- But there is no efficient direct method for solving these problems.

## Primal Algorithms

- Many optimization algorithms use an **improving search paradigm**.
  - Find a feasible starting solution.
  - In each iteration, determine an *improving feasible direction* and move in that direction to get to a better solution.
  - The step size is determined by performing a line search.
- Can this be made to work for integer programming?
- What are the difficulties?


## Implicit Enumeration

- *Implicit enumeration* techniques try to enumerate the solution space in an intelligent way.
- The most common algorithm of this type is *branch and bound*.
- Suppose  $F$  is the set of feasible solutions for some MILP and we wish to solve  $\min_{x \in F} c^T x$ .
- Consider a *partition* of  $F$  into subsets  $F_1, \dots, F_k$ . Then

$$\min_{x \in F} c^T x = \min_{1 \leq i \leq k} \left\{ \min_{x \in F_i} c^T x \right\}$$

- *Idea*: If we can't solve the original problem directly, we might be able to solve the smaller *subproblems* recursively.
- Dividing the original problem into subproblems is called *branching*.
- Taken to the extreme, this scheme is equivalent to complete enumeration.
- We avoid complete enumeration primarily by deriving *bounds* on the value of an optimal solution to each subproblem.

# The Geometry of Integer Programming





## Bounding

- A *relaxation* of an ILP is an auxiliary mathematical program for which
  - the feasible region contains the feasible region for the original ILP, and
  - the objective function value of each solution to the original ILP is not increased.
- Types of Relaxations
  - **Continuous relaxations**
    - \* Most common continuous relaxation is the *LP relaxation*.
    - \* Obtained by dropping some or all of the integrality constraints.
    - \* Easy to solve.
    - \* Bounds weak in general.
    - \* Other relaxations are possible using **semi-definite programming**, for instance.
  - **Combinatorial relaxations**
    - \* Obtained by dropping some of the linear constraints.
    - \* Violation of these constraints can then be penalized in the objective function (*Lagrangian relaxation*)
    - \* Bound strength depends on what constraints are dropped.


## Branch and Bound Algorithm

- We maintain a queue of *active* subproblems initially containing just the *root subproblem*.
- We choose a subproblem from the queue and solve a relaxation of it to obtain a *bound*.
- The result is one of the following:
  1. The relaxation is infeasible  $\Rightarrow$  *subproblem is infeasible*.
  2. We obtain a feasible solution for the MILP  $\Rightarrow$  subproblem solved (new upper bound??).
  3. We obtain an optimal solution to the relaxation that is not feasible for the MILP  $\Rightarrow$  *lower bound*.
- In the first two cases, we are *finished*.
- In the third case, we compare the lower bound to the global upper bound.
  - If it exceeds the upper bound, we discard the subproblem.
  - If not, we *branch* and add the resulting subproblems to the queue.

## Branching

Branching involves partitioning the feasible region with hyperplanes such that:

- All optimal solutions are in one of the members of the partition.
- The solution to the current relaxation is not in any of the members of the partition.



---

# Branch and Bound Tree

# Heuristics

- *Heuristics* are fast methods for finding “good” feasible solutions to mathematical programs when other methods fail.
- **Constructive Methods**
  - Attempt to construct a solution by selecting items from the ground set one by one.
  - Usually done using a *greedy* selection criteria.
- **Improvement Methods**
  - Begin with a feasible solution obtained using another method and try to improve on it.
  - Typically done by discarding some of the items in the solution and choosing others in such a way that the solution improves.
  - These are also sometime called *local search methods* because they search in the local area of a given solution for better ones.
- **Important:** Heuristics do not “solve” problems, they just find good solutions!!!

# Other Tools and Techniques

## Reformulation

- Not all formulations are created equal.
- A given mathematical programming problem may have many alternative formulations.
- For MILPs, “stronger” formulations make problems easier to solve.
- Example: Facility Location revisited
  - Consider the constraints  $\sum_{i=1}^m x_{ij} \leq my_j \quad \forall j$ .
  - These can be replaced with  $x_{ij} \leq y_j \quad \forall i, j$
- Adding variables or recasting with a completely different set of variables can also help.
- Various *automatic reformulation techniques* have been successful in improving our ability to solve difficult problems.
- Decomposition, preprocessing, and cutting plane generation are three simple methods for strengthening initial formulations.

## Decomposition and Multi-objective Problems

- *Decomposition methods* try to reduce solution of a difficult model to solution of a series of easier models.
- This is done by relaxing certain constraints and then trying to implicitly enforce them.
- One typical approach, called *Lagrangian relaxation*, assigns a penalty in the objective function for violating the relaxed constraints.
- The difficult part is identifying which constraints to relax.
- Methods for automatically detecting structure and applying a decomposition method are a promising area for research.
- Problems with **multiple objectives** can also be reduced to a series of single-objective problems.
- Methods for solving families of related integer programs are crucial to efficient implementations.



## Preprocessing and Logical Tightening


- *Preprocessing* techniques use various logical rules to tighten bounds on both variables and constraints.
- Example: We can derive *implied bounds* for variables from each constraint  $ax \leq b$ . If  $a_0 > 0$ , then

$$x_1 \leq (b - \sum_{j:a_j>0} a_j l_j - \sum_{j:a_j<0} a_j u_j) / a_0$$


- Many other such rules can be applied in order to strengthen the formulation and obtain better bounds.
- Similar techniques are used in *constraint logic programming*.

## Strong Valid Inequalities

Any inequality valid for all optimal solutions to a given MILP can be used to obtain improved bounds.



## Valid Inequality Example



- Consider the graph on the left above.
- The **optimal perfect matching** has value  $L + 2$ .
- The optimal solution to the LP relaxation has value  $3$ .
- This formulation can be extremely **weak**.
- Add the **valid inequality**  $x_{24} + x_{35} \geq 1$ .
- Every perfect matching satisfies this inequality.

## Primal Heuristics

- *Primal heuristics* are used to perturb a solution to a relaxation into a solution feasible for the original MILP.
- The most common primal heuristics are based on rounding the solution obtained by solving an LP relaxation.
- The success of such methods depends on
  - how easy it is to achieve feasibility, and
  - how much rounding increases the objective function value.
- Another possibility is to use a constructive method initialized by fixing all the variables that are integer-valued in the current LP solution.
- This could be followed by an improvement method.

# User Interfaces

## Bringing MILP to the Masses

- User interfaces are the bridge between theory and practice.
- User interfaces allow practitioners to apply methodology developed by academics to *real problems*.
- How do practitioners use these tools?
  - As a “black box” to solve a given model specified in a *modeling language*.
  - As a “black box” embedded within a larger application using a callable library.
  - As building blocks within customized solvers.

## Common Infrastructure for Operations Research (COIN-OR)

- The *COIN-OR* project is a **consortium** of researchers from both industry and academia.
- We are dedicated to promoting the development and use of interoperable, open-source software for operations research.
- We are also dedicated to **defining standards and interfaces** that allow software components to interoperate with other software, as well as with users.
- Check out the Web site for the project at

<http://www.coin-or.org>

- There is also a Lehigh site devoted to COIN tutorial materials at

<http://sagan.ie.lehigh.edu/coin>

- **COIN-OR** is involved in research in all of the areas in the second-half of the talk.

## Modeling Languages and Data Interchange Formats

- A *modeling language* is a human-readable syntax for specifying a model.
- Modeling languages typically strive to be “solver independent.”
- Using a modeling language, the user can write a text-based description of the model that could be read from a file by a solver.
- Some existing modeling languages
  - AMPL
  - GAMS
  - MPL
  - OPL
  - GMPL
- Non-human-readable formats are also needed to store model data or pass it to other applications.
- Current modeling languages are somewhat limited in the integer programming models they can express.
- One area prime for research is increasing the richness of modeling languages to allow specification of more complex (combinatorial) models.



## Callable Libraries

- More sophisticated users may prefer to access the solver directly from application code without going through a modeling language.
- This requires specifying an API.
- The [Open Solver Interface](#) (OSI) is a uniform API available from [COIN-OR](#) that provides a common interface to numerous solvers.
- Using the [OSI](#) improves portability and eliminates dependence on third-party software.

## Algorithmic Frameworks

- An *algorithmic framework* allows the user to easily modify the inner workings of the algorithm.
- In branch and bound, a user might want to develop a new branching rule or generate some cutting planes without implementing from scratch.
- In a framework, functionality must be modularized and interfaces well-defined.
- Existing frameworks for MILP
  - MINTO
  - ABACUS
  - SYMPHONY
  - COIN/BCP
  - ALPS (under development)

# Computation

## Large-scale Computation

- With large-scale computation, many practical issues arise.
  - speed
  - memory usage
  - numerical stability
- Dealing with these issues can be more of an art than a science.
- This is an area in which we have a great deal to learn.

## Warm Starting

- Many algorithms in integer programming involve solving sequences of related problems.
- It is also common that the problem being solved actually changes after the solution process has begun.
- For efficiency, we would like to be able to use information derived from previous computations to perform future computations more quickly.
- *Warm starting* is the process of using auxiliary information in addition to the usual input data in order to solve a problem more quickly.
- Very little is known about how to warm start computations in integer programming.
- This is a very important area that we are just beginning to study.

## Distributed Computing

- **High-performance computing** is becoming increasingly affordable.
- The use of parallel algorithms for solving large-scale problems has become a realistic option for many users.
- Developing parallel algorithms raises a range of additional issues.
- The name of the game in parallel computation is to **avoid doing unnecessary computations** (right hand doesn't know what the left hand is doing).
- To avoid unnecessary work, processing units have to **share information**.
- Information sharing also has a cost, so there is a tradeoff.
- Achieving the correct balance is challenging.
- This is an area of active research.

---

# Current State of the Art

## Outlook

- Currently, IP researchers are in search of the “next big breakthrough” in methodology.
- More work is needed on improving user interfaces and making IP technology accessible to practitioners.
- There is still a lot to be learned about computation and large-scale IP.
- Applying IP to a new application area can have a big impact.
- Many application areas remain untapped.



## Current Research

- **Theory and Methodology**
  - Branch, cut, and price algorithms for large-scale discrete optimization
  - Decomposition-based algorithms for discrete optimization
  - Parallel algorithms
- **Software Development**
  - **COIN-OR Project** (Open Source Software for Operations Research)
  - **SYMPHONY** (C library for parallel branch, cut, and price)
  - **ALPS** (C++ library for scalable parallel search algorithms)
  - **BiCePS** (C++ library for parallel branch, constrain, and price)
  - **BLIS** (C++ library built for solving **MILPs**)
  - **DECOMP** (Framework for decomposition-based algorithms)
- **Applications**
  - Logistics (routing and packing problems)
  - Electronic commerce/combinatorial auctions
  - Computational biology