# Integer Programming ISE 418

Lecture 29

Dr. Ted Ralphs

## **Reading for This Lecture**

- Nemhauser and Wolsey Sections I.6.1, III.1.1-III.1.3
- Wolsey Chapter 3
- CCZ Chapter 4

#### When is an IP Easy to Solve?

- We will consider a particular class of MILPs to be "easy" when we can solve all instances in the class in polynomial time.
- We will see that there are a number of properties that indicate an IP is easy:
  - 1. Existence of an efficient optimization algorithm,
  - 2. Existence of an efficient separation algorithm for the conv(S).
  - 3. Existence of a complete description of conv(S) of polynomial size,
  - 4. Existence of a short certificate of optimality, or
  - 5. Existence of an efficiently solvable strong dual problem.
- We will see that under certain conditions, Properties 1 and 2 are equivalent.
- Property 3 is, in some sense, the strongest—it implies all other properties.

## Polynomial Equivalence of Separation and Optimization

<u>Separation Problem</u>: Given a polyhedron  $\mathcal{P} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $x^* \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , determine whether  $x^* \in \mathcal{P}$  and if not, determine  $(\pi, \pi_0)$ , a valid inequality for  $\mathcal{P}$  such that  $\pi x^* > \pi_0$ .

Optimization Problem: Given a polyhedron  $\mathcal{P}$ , and a cost vector  $c \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , determine  $x^*$  such that  $cx^* = \max\{cx : x \in \mathcal{P}\}$ .

**Theorem 1.** For a family of rational polyhedra  $\mathcal{P}(n,T)$  whose input length is polynomial in n and  $\log T$ , there is a polynomial-time reduction of the linear programming problem over the family to the separation problem over the family. Conversely, there is a polynomial-time reduction of the separation problem to the linear programming problem.

- $\bullet$  The parameter n represents the dimension of the space.
- The parameter T represents the largest numerator or denominator of any coordinate of an extreme point of P (the *vertex complexity*).
- The *ellipsoid algorithm* provides the reduction of linear programming separation to separation.
- *Polarity* provides the other direction.

#### The Ellipsoid Algorithm

- The ellipsoid algorithm is an algorithm for solving linear programs.
- The implementation requires a subroutine for solving the *separation* problem over the feasible region (see next slide).
- We will not go through the details of the ellipsoid algorithm.
- However, its existence is very important to our study of integer programming.
- Each step of the ellipsoid algorithm, except that of finding a violated inequality, is polynomial in
  - -n, the dimension of the space,
  - $-\log T$ , where is the largest numerator or denominator of any coordinate of an extreme point of  $\mathcal{P}$ , and
  - $-\log \|c\|$ , where  $c \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is the given cost vector.
- The entire algorithm is polynomial if and only if the separation problem is polynomial.

#### The Membership Problem

- The *membership problem* is to determine whether  $x^* \in \mathcal{P}$ , for  $x^*in\mathbb{R}^n$  and a polyhedron  $\mathcal{P}$ .
- The membership problem is a decision problem and is closely related to the separation problem.
- Consider the following approach to solving the membership problem.
  - We try to express  $x^*$  as a convex combination of extreme points of  $\mathcal{P}$ .
  - This problem can be formulated as a linear program with a column for each extreme point.
  - If this linear program is infeasible, the certificate is a separating hyperplane.
  - This linear program can be solved by column generation.
  - Note that the column generation subproblem is the separation problem in the dual.
  - Thus, we can solve this linear program in polynomial time if and only if we can optimize over  $\mathcal{P}$ .

#### **Example: Minimum Weight s-t Cut**

• Consider the problem of finding a minimum weight s-t cut in a graph G=(V,E) with edge weights  $c\in\mathbb{R}^E$ .

One formulation of this problem as a linear program is

$$\min \sum_{e \in E} c_e y_e$$

$$\sum_{e \in K} y_e \ge 1 \ \forall K \in \mathcal{K}$$

$$0 \le y_e \le 1 \ \forall e \in E$$

where  $\mathcal{K}$  is the family of s-t paths in G.

- Questions:
  - Can we solve this linear program efficiently?
  - Will the solution to the linear program be integral?
- The first question above amounts to whether we can solve the separation problem efficiently.
- Given a  $y^* \in \mathbb{R}^E$  satisfying the bound constraints, can we determine efficiently whether it satisfies the remaining constraints?

## **Example: Minimum Weight s-t Cut (cont.)**

- We already know that the minimum cut problem is polynomially solvable.
- However, this formulation of the problem is not of polynomial size.
- Since the separation problem is equivalent to the shortest path problem, we can conclude that the linear program is polynomially solvable.
- The question still remains whether the solution to this linear program will be integral.

#### **Integral Polyhedra**

• The theory of integral polyhedra in this lecture applies primarily in the context of pure integer programs.

- In this setting, an *integral point* is just a member of  $\mathbb{Z}^n$ .
  - **Definition 1.** A nonempty polyhedron  $\mathcal{P}$  is said to be integral if each of its nonempty faces contains an integral point.

**Proposition 1.** A nonempty polyhedron  $\mathcal{P} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid Ax \geq b\}$  with rank(A) = n is integral if and only if all of its extreme points are integral.

- We will assume for the remainder of the section on integral polyhedra that all nonempty polyhedra have extreme points.
- Why do we care about integral polyhedra?

#### **Integral Polyhedra**

Consider the linear programming problem  $z_{LP} = \max\{cx \mid x \in \mathcal{P}\}$  for a given polyhedron  $\mathcal{P}$ .

**Proposition 2.** The following statements are equivalent:

- 1.  $\mathcal{P}$  is integral
- 2. The associated LP has an integral optimal solution for all  $c \in \mathbb{R}^n$  for which an optimal solution exists.
- 3. The associated LP has an integral optimal solution for all  $c \in \mathbb{Z}^n$  for which an optimal solution exists.
- 4.  $z_{LP}$  is integral for all  $c \in \mathbb{Z}^n$  for which an optimal solution exists.

If a polyhedron is integral, then we can optimize over it using linear programming techniques.

#### **Total Dual Integrality**

**Definition 2.** A system of linear inequalities  $Ax \leq b$  is called totally dual integral (TDI) if, for all  $c \in \mathbb{Z}^n$  such that  $z_{LP} = \max\{cx \mid Ax \leq b\}$  is finite, the dual  $\min\{yb \mid yA = c, y \in \mathbb{R}^m_+\}$  has an integral optimal solution.

- Note that this definition does not pertain to polyhedra, but to systems of inequalities.
- The importance of this definition is that if  $Ax \leq b$  is TDI and b is integral, then  $\mathcal{P} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid Ax \leq b\}$  must be integral (why?).
- Note that the property of being TDI is sensitive to scaling.
- Every polyhedron has a representation that is TDI.
- In fact, a polyhedron is integral *if and only if* it has a TDI representation where the right-hand side is integral.

#### **Total Unimodularity**

**Definition 3.** An  $m \times n$  integral matrix A is totally unimodular (TU) if the determinant of every square submatrix is 0, 1, or -1.

- Obviously, only matrices with entries of 0, 1, and -1 can be TU.
- If A is TU, then  $\mathcal{P}(b) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n_+ \mid Ax \leq b\}$  is integral for all  $b \in \mathbb{Z}^m$ .
- How could we go about proving this?
- TU is a very strong property.
- If the constraint matrix of an integer program is TU, then it can be solved using linear programming techniques.

#### **Properties of Totally Unimodular Matrices**

The following are equivalent:

- 1. *A* is TU.
- 2. The transpose of A is TU.
- 3. (A, I) is TU.
- 4. A matrix obtained by deleting a unit row/column from A is TU.
- 5. A matrix obtained by multiplying a row/column of A by -1 is TU.
- 6. A matrix obtained by interchanging two rows/columns of A is TU.
- 7. A matrix obtained by duplicating rows/columns of A is TU.
- 8. A matrix obtained by a pivot operation on A is TU.
- We can easily show that if A is TU, it remains so after adding slack variables, adding simple bounds on the variables, or adding ranges on the constraints (how?).
- We can also show that the polyhedron corresponding to the dual LP is integral.

#### The Converse

- We have just seen that if the constraint matrix is TU, then the polyhedron is integral.
- In fact, the converse is true too!

**Proposition 3.** If  $\mathcal{P}(b) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n_+ \mid Ax \leq b\}$  is integral for all  $b \in \mathbb{Z}^m$ , then A is TU.

#### **Recognizing Totally Unimodular Matrices**

- At this point, it appears difficult to recognize TU matrices.
- However, we have a characterization that will be useful.

**Proposition 4.** A is TU if and only if for every  $J \subseteq \{1, ..., n\}$ , there exists a partition  $J_1$ ,  $J_2$  of J such that

$$\left| \sum_{j \in J_1} a_{ij} - \sum_{j \in J_2} a_{ij} \right| \le 1 \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, m.$$

**Corollary 1.** If the (0, 1, -1) matrix A has no more than two nonzero entries in each column, and if  $\sum_{i} a_{ij} = 0$  if column j contains two nonzero coefficients, then A is TU.

#### **Examples of TU Matrices**

- It follows easily from the corollary that the node-arc incidence matrix of a directed graph is a TU matrix.
- This leads to easy proofs of integral min-max results such as the max flow-min cut theorem.
- Another example of a TU matrix is the node-edge incidence matrix of a bipartite graph.
  - **Definition 4.** A (0, 1) matrix A is called an interval matrix if in each column, the 1's appear consecutively.
- Interval matrices are also TU.
- It is interesting to note that any integer program with a (0, 1) constraint matrix has a relaxation defined by an interval matrix (see page 545 of Nemhauser and Wolsey).

#### **Network Matrices**

• A *network matrix* is obtained from a node-arc incidence matrix of a graph after deleting one (dependent) row and performing any number of simplex pivots.

- In other words, it is any matrix that could appear as a tableau when solving a minimum cost network flow problem.
- It is easy to see that all network matrices are TU.
- More surprising is the fact that "nearly all" TU matrices are network matrices!

#### The TU Recognition Problem

**Proposition 5.** Every TU matrix that is not a network matrix or one of the two matrices below can be constructed from these matrices using the rules of the Propositions 2.1 and 2.11 from Nemhauser and Wolsey.

$$\begin{pmatrix}
1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\
-1 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\
-1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 1
\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{pmatrix}$$

- This observation tells us that the TU recognition problem is in NP. What is the certificate?
- In fact, the TU recognition problem is polynomially solvable.